



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: August 7, 2019

ACCEPTED: September 23, 2019

PUBLISHED: October 10, 2019

Search for dark photons in decays of Higgs bosons produced in association with Z bosons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV



The CMS collaboration

E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: A search is presented for a Higgs boson that is produced in association with a Z boson and that decays to an undetected particle together with an isolated photon. The search is performed by the CMS Collaboration at the Large Hadron Collider using a data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} recorded at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. No significant excess of events above the expectation from the standard model background is found. The results are interpreted in the context of a theoretical model in which the undetected particle is a massless dark photon. An upper limit is set on the product of the cross section for associated Higgs and Z boson production and the branching fraction for such a Higgs boson decay, as a function of the Higgs boson mass. For a mass of 125 GeV, assuming the standard model production cross section, this corresponds to an observed (expected) upper limit on this branching fraction of 4.6 (3.6)% at 95% confidence level. These are the first limits on Higgs boson decays to final states that include an undetected massless dark photon.

KEYWORDS: Beyond Standard Model, Hadron-Hadron scattering (experiments), Photon production

ARXIV EPRINT: [1908.02699](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02699)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The CMS detector	2
3	Data samples and event reconstruction	3
4	Event selection	4
5	Background estimation	5
5.1	Nonresonant dilepton backgrounds	5
5.2	Resonant background with genuine missing transverse momentum	6
5.3	Resonant background with no genuine missing transverse momentum	7
6	Signal extraction	7
7	Efficiencies and systematic uncertainties	8
8	Results	10
9	Summary	11
	The CMS collaboration	17

1 Introduction

Following the discovery of a Higgs boson by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations [1–3], a primary focus of the LHC physics program has been the study of the properties of the new particle. The observation of a sizable branching fraction of the Higgs boson to invisible or almost invisible final states [4–7] would be a strong sign of physics beyond the standard model (BSM). Studies of the new boson at a mass of about 125 GeV [8, 9] show no significant deviation from the standard model (SM) Higgs boson hypothesis, and measurements of its couplings constrain its partial decay width to undetected decay modes [10, 11]. Assuming that the couplings of the Higgs boson to W and Z bosons are smaller than the SM values, an upper limit of 38% has been obtained at 95% confidence level (CL) on the branching fraction of the 125 GeV Higgs boson to BSM particles [11].

This paper presents a search for a scalar boson H produced in association with a Z boson and decaying to an undetected particle together with a photon. Several BSM models predict Higgs boson decays to undetected particles and photons [7, 12, 13]. In this search, the target final state is $Z(\rightarrow \ell\ell)H(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma_D)$, where $\ell = e, \mu$, and γ_D is a massless dark photon that couples to the Higgs boson through a charged dark sector [14–17], and is undetected




Figure 1. A Feynman diagram for the production of the $Z(\rightarrow \ell\ell)H(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma_D)$ final state.

in the CMS detector. The branching fraction to such an invisible particle and a photon, $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$, can be as large as 5%, and be consistent with all model parameters and current LHC constraints [15]. A Feynman diagram for such a process is shown in figure 1. While the main focus is the case where the production cross section (σ_{ZH}) is assumed to be the same as that for the SM-like Higgs boson with a mass of 125 GeV, the same analysis is also used to search for heavy neutral Higgs bosons with masses between 125 and 300 GeV, since similar decays are also possible for potential non-SM scalar bosons.

In the SM, a similar signature to the signal process arises when the Higgs boson decays via $H \rightarrow Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$, which has a branching fraction of 3×10^{-4} . Searches for the decay $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ using $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ final states have yielded an upper limit at 95% CL on the product of the cross section and branching fraction of about four times the SM expectation [18, 19]. With the available data set, the present search is not sensitive to this SM decay, but because of enhancements that may arise from BSM physics, the search may be sensitive to Higgs boson decays to invisible particles and photons. The analysis summarized in this paper uses proton-proton (pp) collision data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by the CMS detector in 2016–18 with a total integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} . A similar search was performed by the CMS Collaboration using the data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [20], although that analysis investigated Higgs bosons produced both in gluon-gluon fusion and in association with a Z boson.

The main backgrounds in this analysis arise from WZ and ZZ production, where an electron is mis-identified as a photon, or where additional leptons are not identified because they fail either the lepton identification criteria or the kinematic selections. A second set of backgrounds are due to WW and top quark production, where the invariant mass of the lepton pair falls into the Z boson mass window. There are also small contributions from other multiboson production processes, such as $Z\gamma$. To enhance the discrimination between the potential signal and the remaining background processes, a binned maximum-likelihood fit to several signal and control regions is performed.

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon

pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel magnetic flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in ref. [21]. Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system [22]. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events, while the second level selects events by running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing on a farm of computer processors.

3 Data samples and event reconstruction

The data used in this search were collected in three separate LHC operating periods in 2016, 2017, and 2018. The three data sets are analyzed independently, with appropriate calibrations and corrections to take into account the different LHC running conditions and CMS detector performance.

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events are used to model the expected signal and background yields. Three sets of simulated events for each process are needed to match the different data taking conditions in the three different years. The next-to-leading-order (NLO) POWHEG v2 [23–27] generator is used to simulate the ZH signal process at NLO in quantum chromodynamics (QCD), as well as the $t\bar{t}$, tW , and diboson processes. The BSM Higgs boson production cross sections as a function of the Higgs boson mass (m_H) for the ZH process are taken from refs. [28, 29]. The signal samples are generated for masses of 125, 200, and 300 GeV. Production of $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}\gamma$, and triple vector boson (VVV) events is generated at NLO in QCD using the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.2.2 (2.4.2) generator for 2016 (2017 and 2018) [30–32] samples. The NNPDF 3.0 NLO [33] (NNPDF 3.1 next-to-next-to-leading-order [34]) parton distribution functions (PDFs) are used for simulating all 2016 (2017 and 2018) samples. For all processes, the parton showering and hadronization are simulated using PYTHIA 8.226 (8.230) in 2016 (2017 and 2018) [35]. The modeling of the underlying event is generated using the CUETP8M1 [36, 37] and CP5 tunes [38] for simulated samples corresponding to the 2016 and 2017–18 data sets, respectively.

All MC simulation events are processed through a simulation of the CMS detector based on GEANT4 [39] and are reconstructed with the same algorithms as used for data. Additional pp interactions in the same and nearby bunch crossings, referred to as pileup, are also simulated. The distribution of the number of such interactions in the simulation is adjusted to match the one observed in the data. The average number of pileup interactions was 23 (32) in 2016 (2017 and 2018).

For this search, collision events were collected using single-electron and single-muon triggers that require the presence of an isolated lepton with transverse momentum (p_T) larger than 24 and 27 GeV, respectively. In addition, a set of dilepton triggers with lower p_T thresholds were used, ensuring a trigger efficiency above 99% for events that would satisfy the subsequent offline selection.

Information from all subdetectors is combined and used by the CMS particle-flow (PF) algorithm [40] for particle reconstruction and identification. Jets are reconstructed by clustering PF candidates using the anti- k_T algorithm [41] with a distance parameter 0.4. Jets are calibrated in the simulation, and separately in data, accounting for energy deposits of neutral particles from pileup and any nonlinear detector response [42]. Jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.7$ are considered in the analysis. The effect of pileup is mitigated through a charged-hadron subtraction technique, which removes the energy of charged hadrons not originating from the primary interaction vertex (PV) [43]. The PV is defined as the vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object p_T^2 . Here, the physics objects are the jets clustered using the jet finding algorithm [41, 44] with the tracks assigned to the vertex as inputs, and the associated missing transverse momentum, taken as the negative vector p_T sum of those jets.

Events are discarded if they contain a jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ that is consistent with the fragmentation of a b quark. The combined secondary vertex (CSVv2) b tagging algorithm [45] is used for the 2016 data set, while the DeepCSV algorithm [45] is used for the 2017 and 2018 data sets. For the chosen working points, the efficiency to select b quark jets is about 62 (72)% for CSVv2 (DeepCSV) and the rate for incorrectly b tagging jets originating from the hadronization of gluons or u, d, s quarks is about 1%.

The vector \vec{p}_T^{miss} is defined as the negative vector p_T sum of all PF particle candidates. The magnitude of \vec{p}_T^{miss} is the missing transverse momentum p_T^{miss} . Corrections to jet energies due to detector response are propagated to p_T^{miss} [46]. Events with possible contributions from beam halo processes or anomalous signals in the calorimeters are rejected using dedicated filters [46].

Electrons and muons are reconstructed by associating a track reconstructed in the tracking detectors with either a cluster of energy in the ECAL [47] or a track in the muon system [48]. Electron and muon candidates must pass certain identification criteria to be further selected in the analysis. For the “loose” identification, they must satisfy $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.4) for electrons (muons). At the final stage of the lepton selection, the medium working points, following the definitions provided in refs. [47, 48], are chosen for the identification criteria, including requirements on the impact parameter of the candidates with respect to the PV and their isolation with respect to other particles in the event [49].

Finally, photon candidates are reconstructed from energy deposits in the ECAL [50] within $|\eta| < 2.5$. The identification of the candidates is based on shower shape and isolation variables, and the medium working point, as described in ref. [50], is chosen to select those candidates. In addition, a standard “conversion-safe electron veto” [50] is applied to reject electrons misidentified as photons.

4 Event selection

The signal topology consists of two oppositely charged same-flavor high p_T isolated leptons, electrons or muons, compatible with a Z boson decay, large p_T^{miss} , an isolated high p_T photon, and little jet activity. The signal cross section is several orders of magnitude lower

than that of the major reducible background processes, and therefore a stringent selection is required to obtain a sample of sufficient purity. To be consistent with the expected topology, the selection requires a leading (subleading) lepton with $p_T > 25$ (20) GeV and at least one photon with transverse momentum $p_T^\gamma > 25$ GeV. To reduce background processes where the lepton pair is not from the decay of a Z boson, the dilepton mass must be compatible with that of a Z boson within 15 GeV of the pole mass m_Z [51]. For the purpose of rejecting the bulk of the $Z\gamma$ background as well as processes with little or moderate boost, a p_T^{miss} greater than 110 GeV and a transverse momentum of the dilepton system $p_T^{\ell\ell}$ larger than 60 GeV are required.

To reduce the background from WZ events with a third lepton from the W boson decay, events are removed if, in addition to the two leptons satisfying the full selection criteria, there are any loosely identified leptons. To suppress the top quark background, events are rejected if any jet passes the b tagging selection (b jet veto) described above, or if there are more than two identified jets in the event.

The signal topology is characterized by a dilepton system ($\vec{\ell\ell}$) with large p_T balanced in the transverse plane by the $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}} + \vec{p}_T^\gamma$ system from the Higgs boson decay. Therefore, to reject most of the background from $Z\gamma$ events with misreconstructed p_T^{miss} , the azimuthal angle between the $\vec{\ell\ell}$ and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}} + \vec{p}_T^\gamma$ systems ($\Delta\phi_{\vec{\ell\ell}, \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}} + \vec{p}_T^\gamma}$) is required to be greater than 2.5 rad, the quantity $|p_T^{\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}} + \vec{p}_T^\gamma} - p_T^{\ell\ell}|/p_T^{\ell\ell}$ is required to be smaller than 0.4, and the azimuthal angle between the leading jet and \vec{p}_T^{miss} ($\Delta\phi_{\text{jet}, \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}}$) should be greater than 0.5 rad. In addition, the mass of the dilepton and photon system ($m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$) must be greater than 100 GeV to reject resonant $Z\gamma$ events, where the photon originates from final-state radiation. Finally, the transverse mass of the \vec{p}_T^{miss} and photon system, defined as $m_T \equiv \sqrt{2p_T^{\text{miss}}p_T^\gamma[1 - \cos(\Delta\phi_{\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_T^\gamma})]}$, must be smaller than 350 GeV, which rejects events where the dilepton and photon objects are weakly correlated, or where the photon momentum is mismeasured. The quantity $\Delta\phi_{\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_T^\gamma}$ is the azimuthal angle between \vec{p}_T^{miss} and the photon. A summary of the selection for the analysis is shown in table 1.

5 Background estimation

A combination of methods based on control samples in data and simulation is used to estimate background contributions. Uncertainties related to the theoretical and experimental predictions are taken into account, as described in section 7. Background contributions are categorized depending on whether they produce at least one lepton pair from the decay of a Z boson (resonant contributions) or no such lepton pair (nonresonant contributions). The expected yield for the irreducible background from $pp \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow \ell\ell)H(\rightarrow Z\gamma) \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ is less than 0.1 events and is consequently ignored in the analysis.

5.1 Nonresonant dilepton backgrounds

The contribution from the nonresonant dilepton backgrounds, mostly WW and top quark processes, is estimated by exploiting the lepton flavor symmetry in the final states of these processes [52]. A control region based on the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ final state, whose branching

Variable	Selection	Reject
Number of leptons	Exactly 2 leptons, $p_T > 25/20$ GeV	WZ, ZZ, VVV
Number of photons	≥ 1 photon, $p_T^\gamma > 25$ GeV	All but $Z\gamma$
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $	< 15 GeV	WW, Top quark
p_T^{miss}	> 110 GeV	$Z\gamma$
$p_T^{\ell\ell}$	> 60 GeV	$Z\gamma$
b jet veto	Applied	Top quark, VVV
Jet counting	≤ 2	Top quark, VVV
$\Delta\phi_{\vec{\ell}\ell, \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}} + \vec{p}_T^\gamma}$	> 2.5 rad	$Z\gamma$
$ p_T^{\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}} + \vec{p}_T^\gamma} - p_T^{\ell\ell} /p_T^{\ell\ell}$	< 0.4	$Z\gamma$
$\Delta\phi_{\text{jet}, \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}}$	> 0.5 rad	$Z\gamma$
$m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$	> 100 GeV	$Z\gamma$
m_T	< 350 GeV	WW, Top quark

Table 1. Summary of the selection criteria and the main background processes.

fraction is twice that of either same flavor lepton pair final state, is used to estimate these backgrounds in the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels. This region is completely dominated by this nonresonant dilepton background. The method considers the differences between the electron and muon identification efficiencies when extrapolating from the different-flavor to the same-flavor final states. The resulting predictions agree with the number of background events estimated by applying the same method to the simulation. The chosen $e\mu$ control region contains 3 events that satisfy the full analysis selection, to be compared with an expectation of 2.8 ± 0.5 (stat) from the simulation.

5.2 Resonant background with genuine missing transverse momentum

The resonant background with genuine missing transverse momentum in which an electron is mis-identified as a photon is dominated by the $WZ \rightarrow e\nu\ell\ell$ process. In this case, the background comes from events where the electron from the W boson decay is wrongly identified as a photon. The electron to photon misidentification rate is measured in $Z \rightarrow ee$ events by comparing the ratio of $e\gamma$ to ee pairs consistent with the Z boson mass, as reconstructed in data and simulation. The average misidentification rate is 1–5%, with the larger values corresponding to higher photon pseudorapidity $|\eta^\gamma|$.

Background processes with two leptons and a genuine hard photon are estimated with the simulation. These events arise primarily from the $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell\ell$ process (where the lepton from the W boson decay is not identified) and $ZZ \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$. In both cases an additional hard photon must be radiated.

To assess the normalization of the $WZ \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell\ell$ background, a control region is selected in data by applying the full selection on events where the selected lepton from the W boson decay plays the role of the photon. In this region, 231 events are observed in data, while the simulation predicts 241 ± 4 (stat) events.

5.3 Resonant background with no genuine missing transverse momentum

The background from $Z\gamma$ or $Z + \text{jets}$ events is predicted by the simulation to be less than 5% of the total background, because of the stringent selection used. One of the data control regions used to verify that the background is correctly estimated selects events with lower p_T^{miss} than the default selection. Within the uncertainties, good agreement between data and simulation is found. To estimate the overall ZZ normalization, and also to emulate the $Z\gamma$ process, a four-lepton sample is selected in data, and the full analysis selection is performed, with one of the Z boson dilepton pairs as a photon. In this control region, 5.1 ± 0.2 (stat) events are expected from simulation, while 7 events are observed in data.

6 Signal extraction

After applying the event selection, the 2016, 2017, and 2018 data sets are treated individually in order to maximize the sensitivity of the combination, since the signal-to-background ratio is different in each case. On the other hand, the electron and muon channels are merged because they show a similar signal-to-background ratio.

To discriminate between the potential signal and the remaining background processes, a binned maximum-likelihood fit to the m_T spectrum is performed. The signal spectrum shows a Jacobian peak with an end-point at $m_T \sim m_H$, while the background processes have either a flat distribution or display an increase towards lower values of m_T . Since the contamination from electrons misidentified as photons is larger at large $|\eta^\gamma|$ values, improved sensitivity is achieved by considering separately events with the selected photon at low- or high- $|\eta^\gamma|$.

In the maximum-likelihood fit, each bin of the m_T distribution is separated into a low- $|\eta^\gamma|$ ($|\eta^\gamma| < 1$) and a high- $|\eta^\gamma|$ ($|\eta^\gamma| > 1$) bin, for the signal region and the $e\mu$, WZ , and ZZ control regions. For each individual bin, a Poissonian likelihood term is used to describe the fluctuation of the yields around the expected central value, which is given by the sum of the contributions from signal and background processes. The uncertainties affect the overall normalizations of the signal and background yields, as well as the shapes of the predictions across the distributions of the observables. Correlations between systematic uncertainties in different categories are taken into account. Uncertainties that purely affect the normalization within a category are incorporated as nuisance parameters with log-normal priors, while those associated with changes in shapes are assigned probability density functions described by a polynomial interpolation with a Gaussian constraint. The total likelihood is defined as the product of the likelihoods of the individual bins and the probability density functions for the nuisance parameters, including the product of the likelihood for the individual years. In summary, the maximum-likelihood fit function, \mathcal{L} , can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \prod_{i,j,k} \mathcal{P} \left(N_{\text{Obs},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}} \mid N_{\text{Other},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}}(\theta) + \mu N_{\text{ZH},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}}(\theta) + \mu^{\text{Nonres}} N_{\text{Nonres},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}}(\theta) \right. \\ & \left. + \mu^{\text{WZ}} N_{\text{WZ},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}}(\theta) + \mu^{\text{ZZ}} N_{\text{ZZ},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}}(\theta) \right) \\ & \times \mathcal{P} \left(N_{\text{Obs},(i,k)}^{e\mu} \mid N_{\text{Other},(i,k)}^{e\mu}(\theta) + \mu^{\text{Nonres}} N_{\text{Nonres},(i,k)}^{e\mu}(\theta) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \mathcal{P}\left(N_{\text{Obs},(i,k)}^{3\ell} \mid N_{\text{Other},(i,k)}^{3\ell}(\theta) + \mu^{\text{WZ}} N_{\text{WZ},(i,k)}^{3\ell}(\theta)\right) \\
& \times \mathcal{P}\left(N_{\text{Obs},(i,k)}^{4\ell} \mid N_{\text{Other},(i,k)}^{4\ell}(\theta) + \mu^{\text{ZZ}} N_{\text{ZZ},(i,k)}^{4\ell}(\theta)\right) e^{-(\theta-\hat{\theta})^2/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where i runs over the three data-taking periods, j runs over the m_{T} bins, k runs over the two $|\eta^\gamma|$ values, $\mathcal{P}(N \mid \lambda)$ is the Poisson probability, θ are nuisance parameters for the systematic uncertainties, and $\hat{\theta}$ are their default values. The values $N_{\text{Obs},(i,j,k)}^{\text{SR}}$, $N_{\text{Obs},(i,k)}^{e\mu}$, $N_{\text{Obs},(i,k)}^{3\ell}$, and $N_{\text{Obs},(i,k)}^{4\ell}$ are the observed data events in the signal region, and the $e\mu$, WZ, and ZZ control regions, respectively. The parameters μ , μ^{Nonres} , μ^{WZ} , and μ^{ZZ} are the fit normalization factors for the signal, nonresonant, WZ, and ZZ processes, respectively. The values N_{ZH} , N_{Nonres} , N_{WZ} , N_{ZZ} , and N_{Other} are the expected number of events for the signal, nonresonant, W, ZZ, and remaining processes, respectively, for the different regions. This approach follows that of ref. [53], where more details can be found.

The m_{T} distributions for the $e\mu$, WZ, and ZZ control regions are shown in figure 2. This analysis fits the m_{T} distributions for two regions of $|\eta^\gamma|$, a procedure that improves the expected limits by about 30 to 50% compared with the results from simply counting the contents of a single m_{T} bin for each $|\eta^\gamma|$ region, as was done in ref. [20]. The improvement from splitting the data into two regions of $|\eta^\gamma|$ is about 4%.

7 Efficiencies and systematic uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainty are taken into account in the maximum-likelihood fit. For each source of uncertainty, the effects on the final distributions are considered correlated.

The assigned uncertainties in the integrated luminosity measurements for the data used in this analysis are 2.5, 2.3, and 2.5% for the 2016, 2017, and 2018 data samples [54–56], respectively. They are treated as uncorrelated across the three data sets.

The simulation of pileup events assumes a total inelastic pp cross section of 69.2 mb, with an associated uncertainty of 5% [57, 58], which has an impact on the expected signal and background yields of about 1%.

Discrepancies in the lepton and photon reconstruction and identification efficiencies between data and simulation are corrected by applying scale factors to all MC simulation samples. These scale factors are determined using $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events in the Z boson peak region that were recorded with unbiased triggers [47, 48]. The scale factors depend on the p_{T} and η of the lepton, and are within 2% of unity for both electrons and muons. The uncertainty in the determination of the trigger efficiency leads to an uncertainty smaller than 1% in the expected signal yield. The lepton momentum scale uncertainty is computed by varying the momenta of the leptons in simulation by their uncertainties, and repeating the analysis selection. The resulting yield uncertainties are $\approx 1\%$ for both electrons and muons. The above procedure is applied also to determine the scale factors for photons, and the yield uncertainty for photon candidates is $\approx 1.5\%$. These uncertainties are treated as correlated across the three data sets.

The uncertainty in the calibration of the jet energy scale directly affects the acceptance of the jet multiplicity requirement and the $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ measurement. These effects are estimated




Figure 2. The m_T distributions for the $e\mu$, WZ, and ZZ control regions after the simultaneous fit to data in the signal and control regions. Statistical and systematic uncertainties in the expected background yields are represented by the hatched band. Vertical bars represent data statistical uncertainties, while horizontal bars represent the bin widths.

by shifting the jet energy in the simulation up and down by one standard deviation. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale is 2–5%, depending on p_T and η [42], and the impact on the expected signal and background yields is about 3%.

In this analysis, b tagging is used to reject events with genuine b quark jets in the final state, since signal events have no b quarks to first order in the decay channel of interest. The b tagging efficiency in the simulation is corrected using scale factors determined from data [45]. These values are estimated separately for correctly and incorrectly identified jets. Each set of values results in the b tagging efficiency of about 1–4%, and the impact on the expected signal and background yields is about 1%. The uncertainties in the jet energy scale and b tagging are treated as uncorrelated across the three data sets.

The theoretical uncertainties due to the choice of the QCD renormalization and factorization scales are estimated by varying these scales independently up and down by a factor of two [59, 60]. The variations of the PDF set and the strong coupling constant are used to estimate the corresponding uncertainties in the yields of the signal and background processes, following refs. [33, 61]. The combined impact on the expected yields from the

Process	Yield
Data	14
Nonresonant	2.4 ± 1.1
WZ	8.1 ± 2.0
ZZ	1.5 ± 0.3
$Z\gamma$	0.7 ± 0.7
Other	0.6 ± 0.3
Total background	13.3 ± 3.8
ZH ₁₂₅ (product of acceptance and efficiency)	17.9 ± 1.2 ($2.13 \pm 0.14\%$)
ZH ₂₀₀ (product of acceptance and efficiency)	12.3 ± 0.8 ($6.48 \pm 0.42\%$)
ZH ₃₀₀ (product of acceptance and efficiency)	3.9 ± 0.2 ($10.20 \pm 0.51\%$)

Table 2. Observed yields, background estimates after the fit to data, and signal predictions after the event selection. The signal size corresponds to $0.1\sigma_{\text{ZH}}$ for all three m_{H} values shown. The combined statistical and systematic uncertainties are reported. The values in parentheses for the signal processes correspond to the products of acceptance and selection efficiency for $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events.

above sources is about 4%. The statistical uncertainty associated with the limited number of simulated events is also considered as part of the systematic uncertainty, leading to an impact on the expected yields of about 5%. These systematic uncertainties are much smaller than the statistical uncertainty because of the limited size of the data sample, and the effect of all systematic uncertainties reduces the sensitivity by less than 4%.

8 Results

The numbers of observed and expected events after applying the full selection requirements are shown in table 2. The signal size is chosen for illustration purposes to be $0.1\sigma_{\text{ZH}}$, to have a rounded number relatively close to the minimum where this analysis is expected to have sensitivity, and to avoid quoting large expected yields. The product of acceptance and selection efficiency increases at larger m_{H} values because of the larger p_{T} values for all objects in the events. The m_{T} distributions for events with $|\eta^\gamma| < 1$ and $|\eta^\gamma| > 1$ after the event selection are shown in figure 3. Agreement between the data and the background-only prediction is observed.

By using the fit strategy described in section 6, upper limits as a function of m_{H} are derived for the product of σ_{ZH} and $\mathcal{B}(\text{H} \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$. For $m_{\text{H}} = 125 \text{ GeV}$, this result can be interpreted as an upper limit on $\mathcal{B}(\text{H} \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$ assuming the production rate for an SM Higgs boson [29]. The upper limits at 95% CL are calculated using a modified frequentist approach with the CL_s criterion [62, 63] and asymptotic method for the test statistic [53, 64]. The observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit at $m_{\text{H}} = 125 \text{ GeV}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\text{H} \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$ is 4.6 ($3.6^{+2.0}_{-1.2}$)%. The expected and observed cross section upper limits at 95% CL on the product of σ_{ZH} and $\mathcal{B}(\text{H} \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$ as a function of m_{H} are shown in figure 4. Exclusion limits at 95% CL on the product of σ_{ZH} and $\mathcal{B}(\text{H} \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$




Figure 3. The m_T distributions in the signal region for two m_H values for events with $|\eta^\gamma| < 1$ (left) and $|\eta^\gamma| > 1$ (right), after the fit to data. The signal size corresponds to $0.1\sigma_{ZH}$ for both values of m_H shown. The signal processes are stacked on top of all backgrounds. Statistical and systematic uncertainties in the expected background yields are represented by the hatched band. Vertical bars represent data statistical uncertainties, while horizontal bars represent the bin widths.




Figure 4. Expected and observed upper limits at 95% CL on the product of σ_{ZH} and $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$ as a function of m_H . The dot-dashed line shows the predicted signal corresponding to $0.1\sigma_{ZH}$.

range from ~ 40 to ~ 4 fb as m_H increases from 125 to 300 GeV. These limits also apply to other models where a scalar particle decays to a photon and light invisible particles.

9 Summary

A search is presented for a Higgs boson produced in association with a Z boson and decaying to an undetected particle together with an isolated photon. The analysis is based on a data set recorded by the CMS experiment in 2016–18 at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} . No significant excess of events above the expectation from standard model backgrounds is found. The results are used to place limits on the product of the cross section for associated ZH production and the branching

fraction for such decays of the Higgs boson, in the context of a theoretical model where the undetected particle is a massless dark photon. The observed and expected upper limits at 95% confidence level at $m_H = 125$ GeV on $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$, assuming standard model ZH associated production, are 4.6 and 3.6%, respectively. Allowing for deviations from standard model ZH production, the product of σ_{ZH} and $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible} + \gamma)$ is excluded above ~ 40 to ~ 4 fb, for m_H ranging from 125 to 300 GeV. These are the first limits on Higgs boson decays to final states that include an undetected massless dark photon.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (U.S.A.).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 752730, and 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science — EOS” — be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z181100004218003; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Lendület (“Momentum”) Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFI research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary);

the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Education, grant no. 3.2989.2017 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (U.S.A.).

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1 [[arXiv:1207.7214](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.7214)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] CMS collaboration, *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30 [[arXiv:1207.7235](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.7235)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] CMS collaboration, *Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV*, *JHEP* **06** (2013) 081 [[arXiv:1303.4571](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.4571)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] D. Ghosh et al., *Looking for an invisible Higgs signal at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **725** (2013) 344 [[arXiv:1211.7015](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.7015)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] S.P. Martin and J.D. Wells, *Motivation and detectability of an invisibly decaying Higgs boson at the Fermilab Tevatron*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 035006 [[hep-ph/9903259](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9903259)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] Y. Bai, P. Draper and J. Shelton, *Measuring the invisible Higgs width at the 7 and 8 TeV LHC*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 192 [[arXiv:1112.4496](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4496)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] D. Curtin et al., *Exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 075004 [[arXiv:1312.4992](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4992)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] ATLAS and CMS collaborations, *Combined measurement of the Higgs boson mass in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with the ATLAS and CMS experiments*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 191803 [[arXiv:1503.07589](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.07589)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] CMS collaboration, *Measurements of properties of the Higgs boson decaying into the four-lepton final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 047 [[arXiv:1706.09936](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.09936)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] ATLAS and CMS collaborations, *Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and constraints on its couplings from a combined ATLAS and CMS analysis of the LHC pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 045 [[arXiv:1606.02266](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.02266)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [11] CMS collaboration, *Combined measurements of Higgs boson couplings in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 421 [[arXiv:1809.10733](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] A. Djouadi and M. Drees, *Higgs boson decays into light gravitinos*, *Phys. Lett. B* **407** (1997) 243 [[hep-ph/9703452](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] C. Petersson, A. Romagnoni and R. Torre, *Higgs decay with monophoton + MET signature from low scale supersymmetry breaking*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 016 [[arXiv:1203.4563](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] E. Gabrielli and M. Raidal, *Exponentially spread dynamical Yukawa couplings from nonperturbative chiral symmetry breaking in the dark sector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 015008 [[arXiv:1310.1090](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] E. Gabrielli, M. Heikinheimo, B. Mele and M. Raidal, *Dark photons and resonant monophoton signatures in Higgs boson decays at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 055032 [[arXiv:1405.5196](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] S. Biswas, E. Gabrielli, M. Heikinheimo and B. Mele, *Dark-photon searches via Higgs-boson production at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 093011 [[arXiv:1603.01377](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] S. Biswas, E. Gabrielli, M. Heikinheimo and B. Mele, *Searching for massless dark-photons at the LHC via Higgs boson production*, in the proceedings of the *2017 European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics (EPS-HEP 2017)*, July 5–12, Venice, Italy (2017).
- [18] CMS collaboration, *Search for the decay of a Higgs boson in the $\ell\ell\gamma$ channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *JHEP* **11** (2018) 152 [[arXiv:1806.05996](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] ATLAS collaboration, *Searches for the $Z\gamma$ decay mode of the Higgs boson and for new high-mass resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 112 [[arXiv:1708.00212](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] CMS collaboration, *Search for exotic decays of a Higgs boson into undetectable particles and one or more photons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 363 [[arXiv:1507.00359](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] CMS collaboration, *The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC*, *2008 JINST* **3** S08004 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] CMS collaboration, *The CMS trigger system*, *2017 JINST* **12** P01020 [[arXiv:1609.02366](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] S. Frixione and B.R. Webber, *Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **06** (2002) 029 [[hep-ph/0204244](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] P. Nason, *A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms*, *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040 [[hep-ph/0409146](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, *Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method*, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070 [[arXiv:0709.2092](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *NLO vector-boson production matched with shower in POWHEG*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 060 [[arXiv:0805.4802](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043 [[arXiv:1002.2581](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] LHC HIGGS CROSS SECTION WORKING GROUP collaboration, *Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 3. Higgs properties*, [arXiv:1307.1347](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] LHC HIGGS CROSS SECTION WORKING GROUP collaboration, *Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 4. Deciphering the nature of the Higgs sector*, [arXiv:1610.07922](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [30] J. Alwall et al., *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079 [[arXiv:1405.0301](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] J. Alwall et al., *MadGraph 5: going beyond*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 128 [[arXiv:1106.0522](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, *Merging meets matching in MC@NLO*, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 061 [[arXiv:1209.6215](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] NNPDF collaboration, *Parton distributions for the LHC Run II*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 040 [[arXiv:1410.8849](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] NNPDF collaboration, *Parton distributions from high-precision collider data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 663 [[arXiv:1706.00428](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852 [[arXiv:0710.3820](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] P. Skands, S. Carrazza and J. Rojo, *Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 Tune*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3024 [[arXiv:1404.5630](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] CMS collaboration, *Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 155 [[arXiv:1512.00815](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] CMS collaboration, *Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements*, [arXiv:1903.12179](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] GEANT4 collaboration, *GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] CMS collaboration, *Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector*, *2017 JINST* **12** P10003 [[arXiv:1706.04965](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063 [[arXiv:0802.1189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] CMS collaboration, *Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV*, *2017 JINST* **12** P02014 [[arXiv:1607.03663](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] CMS collaboration, *Pileup Removal Algorithms*, CMS-PAS-JME-14-001 (2014).
- [44] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet user manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896 [[arXiv:1111.6097](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] CMS collaboration, *Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV*, *2018 JINST* **13** P05011 [[arXiv:1712.07158](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] CMS collaboration, *Performance of the CMS missing transverse momentum reconstruction in pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, *2015 JINST* **10** P02006 [[arXiv:1411.0511](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] CMS collaboration, *Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, *2015 JINST* **10** P06005 [[arXiv:1502.02701](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] CMS collaboration, *Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *2018 JINST* **13** P06015 [[arXiv:1804.04528](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] CMS collaboration, *Measurements of properties of the Higgs boson decaying to a W boson pair in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **791** (2019) 96 [[arXiv:1806.05246](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [50] CMS collaboration, *Performance of photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, [2015 JINST 10 P08010](#) [[arXiv:1502.02702](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, *Review of particle physics*, [Phys. Rev. D 98 \(2018\) 030001](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] CMS collaboration, *Search for new physics in events with a leptonically decaying Z boson and a large transverse momentum imbalance in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [Eur. Phys. J. C 78 \(2018\) 291](#) [[arXiv:1711.00431](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] ATLAS, CMS collaborations and the LHC Higgs Combination Group, *Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011*, [CMS-NOTE-2011-005](#) (2011).
- [54] CMS collaboration, *CMS Luminosity Measurements for the 2016 Data Taking Period*, [CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001](#) (2017).
- [55] CMS collaboration, *CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004](#) (2017).
- [56] CMS collaboration, *CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002](#) (2017).
- [57] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the inelastic proton-proton cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, [Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 \(2016\) 182002](#) [[arXiv:1606.02625](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of the inelastic proton-proton cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [JHEP 07 \(2018\) 161](#) [[arXiv:1802.02613](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] S. Catani, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini and P. Nason, *Soft gluon resummation for Higgs boson production at hadron colliders*, [JHEP 07 \(2003\) 028](#) [[hep-ph/0306211](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, M.L. Mangano, P. Nason and G. Ridolfi, *The $t\bar{t}$ cross-section at 1.8 TeV and 1.96 TeV: a study of the systematics due to parton densities and scale dependence*, [JHEP 04 \(2004\) 068](#) [[hep-ph/0303085](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] J. Butterworth et al., *PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC run II*, [J. Phys. G 43 \(2016\) 023001](#) [[arXiv:1510.03865](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] A.L. Read, *Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique*, [J. Phys. G 28 \(2002\) 2693](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] T. Junk, *Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics*, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 434 \(1999\) 435](#) [[hep-ex/9902006](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, *Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics*, [Eur. Phys. J. C 71 \(2011\) 1554](#) [Erratum *ibid.* **C 73** (2013) 2501] [[arXiv:1007.1727](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

The CMS collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A.M. Sirunyan[†], A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, F. Ambrogio, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth¹, M. Jeitler¹, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, J. Schieck¹, R. Schöffbeck, M. Spanring, D. Spitzbart, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹, M. Zarucki

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

V. Drugakov, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish, E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, Q. Python, K. Skovpen, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, H. Brun, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, I. Khvastunov², M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, D. Trocino, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

O. Bondu, G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, A. Magitteri, J. Prisciandaro, A. Saggio, M. Vidal Marono, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, P. Rebello Teles

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato³, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁴, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, J. Martins⁵, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime⁶, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote³, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista^a, Universidade Federal do ABC^b, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^a, L. Calligaris^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, SandraS. Padula^a

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Beihang University, Beijing, China

W. Fang⁷, X. Gao⁷, L. Yuan

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang⁸, J. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang

Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

M. Ahmad, Z. Hu, Y. Wang

Zhejiang University — Department of Physics

M. Xiao

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanović, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, S. Ceci, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov⁹, T. Susa

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, D. Tsiakkouri

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger¹⁰, M. Finger Jr.¹⁰, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Ayala

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran^{11,12}, S. Elgammal¹²

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, T. Järvinen, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

T. Tuuva

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro¹³, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France

S. Ahuja, C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁴, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁴, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁴, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, M. Jansová, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

T. Toriashvili¹⁵

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁰

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, L. Feld, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde, B. Wittmer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

A. Albert, M. Erdmann, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad¹⁶, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nehrkorn, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl¹⁷

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, D. Bertsche, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras¹⁸, V. Botta, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, E. Gallo¹⁹, A. Geiser, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, A. Jafari, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem¹⁸, M. Kase-
mann, H. Kaveh, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann²⁰, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, V. Blobel, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann,

A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, J. Multhaupt, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Perieanu, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, C. Scharf, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

M. Akbiyik, C. Barth, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, P. Goldenzweig, A. Gottmann, M.A. Harrendorf, F. Hartmann¹⁷, U. Husemann, S. Kudella, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Gerasis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, A. Stakia, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Gianneios, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitaras, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strologas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsonis

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók²¹, R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, M.I. Nagy, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath²², F. Sikler, T.Á. Vámi, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[†]

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²¹, A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, D. Teyssier, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo, W.J. Metzger, F. Nemes, T. Novak

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, P.C. Tiwari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bahinipati²⁴, C. Kar, G. Kole, P. Mal, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak²⁵, D.K. Sahoo²⁴, S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, R. Chawla, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Virdi, G. Walia

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, Ashok Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, Aashaq Shah, R. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

R. Bhardwaj²⁶, M. Bharti²⁶, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep²⁶, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, M. Maity²⁷, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, T. Sarkar²⁷, M. Sharan, B. Singh²⁶, S. Thakur²⁶

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

P.K. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur, RavindraKumar Verma

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumdar, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, B. Kansal, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani²⁸, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami²⁸, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, R. Aly^{a,b,29}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Di Florio^{a,b}, W. Elmetenawee^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, A. Gelmi^{a,b}, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, M. Ince^{a,b}, S. Lezki^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^a, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, F.M. Simone^{a,b}, R. Venditti^a, P. Verwilligen^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, L. Borghonovi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, C. Ciocca^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, E. Fontanesi^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, F. Iemmi^{a,b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,30}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^a

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b,31}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,31}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, A. Cassese, R. Ceccarelli, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, G. Latino^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^a

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

M. Bozzo^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, R. Mulargia^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, A. Beschi^{a,b}, F. Brivio^{a,b}, V. Ciriolo^{a,b,17}, S. Di Guida^{a,b,17}, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, P. Dini^a, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, L. Guzzi^{a,b}, M. Malberti^a, S. Malvezzi^a, D. Menasce^a, F. Monti^{a,b}, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}, D. Zuolo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi^d, Roma, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, A. De Iorio^{a,b}, A. Di Crescenzo^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, F. Fienga^a, G. Galati^a, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Lista^{a,b}, S. Meola^{a,d,17}, P. Paolucci^{a,17}, B. Rossi^a, C. Sciacca^{a,b}, E. Voevodina^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Boletti^{a,b}, A. Bragagnolo^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, P. De Castro Manzano^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, S.Y. Hoh^{a,b}, P. Lujan^a, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, M. Presilla^b, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, R. Rossin^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, A. Tiko^a, M. Tosi^{a,b}, M. Zanetti^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

A. Braghieri^a, D. Fiorina^{a,b}, P. Montagna^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, M. Ressegotti^{a,b}, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, I. Vai^a, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, R. Leonardi^{a,b},
E. Manoni^a, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Rossi^{a,b}, A. Santocchia^{a,b},
D. Spiga^a

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy

K. Androsov^a, P. Azzurri^a, G. Bagliesi^a, V. Bertacchi^{a,c}, L. Bianchini^a, T. Boccali^a,
R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,b}, R. Dell'Orso^a, G. Fedi^a, L. Giannini^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a,
M.T. Grippo^a, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, E. Manca^{a,c}, G. Mandorli^{a,c}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a,
A. Rizzi^{a,b}, G. Rolandi³², S. Roy Chowdhury, A. Scribano^a, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a,
G. Tonelli^{a,b}, N. Turini, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verдини^a

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Rome, Italy

F. Cavallari^a, M. Cipriani^{a,b}, D. Del Re^{a,b}, E. Di Marco^{a,b}, M. Diemoz^a, E. Longo^{a,b},
P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi^a, R. Paramatti^{a,b}, C. Quaranta^{a,b},
S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b}, L. Soffi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b},
A. Bellora, C. Biino^a, A. Cappati^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Cometti^a, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b},
N. Demaria^a, B. Kiani^{a,b}, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b},
E. Monteil^{a,b}, M. Monteno^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, G. Ortona^{a,b}, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a,
M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Salvatico^{a,b}, V. Sola^a,
A. Solano^{a,b}, D. Soldi^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, A. Da Rold^{a,b}, G. Della Ricca^{a,b},
F. Vazzoler^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen,
D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon, G. Oh

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park,
S.K. Park, Y. Roh, J. Yoo

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics

J. Goh

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, I. Yoon, G.B. Yu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

D. Jeon, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, I.J. Watson

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, J. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

Riga Technical University, Riga, LatviaV. Veckalns³³**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**

V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, G. Tamulaitis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, MalaysiaZ.A. Ibrahim, F. Mohamad Idris³⁴, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli**Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico**

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, MexicoH. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁵, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

J. Mijuskovic, N. Raicevic

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁶, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{37,38}, P. Moisezenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtcov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³⁹, E. Kuznetsova⁴⁰, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC ‘Kurchatov Institute’, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilo, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko⁴¹, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepenov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia

T. Aushev

National Research Nuclear University ‘Moscow Engineering Physics Institute’ (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

O. Bychkova, R. Chistov⁴², M. Danilov⁴², S. Polikarpov⁴², E. Tarkovskii

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, V. Bunichev, M. Dubinin⁴³, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, V. Savrin

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

A. Barnyakov⁴⁴, V. Blinov⁴⁴, T. Dimova⁴⁴, L. Kardapoltsev⁴⁴, Y. Skovpen⁴⁴

Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre ‘Kurchatov Institute’, Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences

P. Adzic⁴⁵, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic, M. Stojanovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Russo⁴⁶, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, J.M. Vizan Garcia

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

K. Malagalage

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna, N. Wickramage

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, P. Bortignon, E. Bossini, C. Botta, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, A. Caratelli, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, G. Cucciati, D. d’Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, O. Davignon, A. De Roeck, M. Deile, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita⁴⁷, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, A. Gilbert, K. Gill, F. Glege, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, P. Janot, O. Karacheban²⁰, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, M. Krammer¹, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, J.A. Merlin, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo¹⁷, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabady, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas⁴⁸, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsirou, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

L. Caminada⁴⁹, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe, S.A. Wiederkehr

ETH Zurich — Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, T. Klijnsma, W. Lustermann, R.A. Manzoni, M. Marionneau, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutska, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, C. AMSler⁵⁰, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, S. Donato, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, K. Schweiger, C. Seitz, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz, A. Zucchetta

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

T.H. Doan, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

ÇCukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

A. Bat, F. Boran, A. Celik⁵¹, S. Cerci⁵², S. Damarseckin⁵³, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen⁵⁴, I. Dumanoglu, G. Gokbulut, EmineGurpinar Guler⁵⁵, Y. Guler, I. Hos⁵⁶, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal⁵⁷, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir⁵⁸, S. Ozturk⁵⁹, A.E. Simsek, D. Sunar Cerci⁵², U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Isildak⁶⁰, G. Karapinar⁶¹, M. Yalvac

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁶², O. Kaya⁶³, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten, E.A. Yetkin⁶⁴

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen⁶⁵

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns⁶⁶, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, S. Paramesvaran, B. Penning, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶⁷, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, W.J. Womersley

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, GurpreetSingh CHAHAL⁶⁸, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, T. James, M. Komm, C. Laner, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, J. Nash⁶⁹, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond,

A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee¹⁷, N. Wardle, D. Winterbottom, J. Wright, A.G. Zecchinelli, S.C. Zenz

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, A. Morton, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

Baylor University, Waco, U.S.A.

K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, U.S.A.

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, U.S.A.

A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

Boston University, Boston, U.S.A.

D. Arcaro, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, U.S.A.

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez¹⁸, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan⁷⁰, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, J. Lee, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Sagir⁷¹, R. Syarif, E. Usai, D. Yu, W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, U.S.A.

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko, O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

University of California, Los Angeles, U.S.A.

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, U.S.A.

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, E. Kennedy, O.R. Long, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, L. Wang, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates, Y. Zhang

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, U.S.A.

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara — Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, U.S.A.

N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, M. Franco Sevilla, L. Gouskos, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, U.S.A.

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, U.S.A.

M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, U.S.A.

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Johnson, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, U.S.A.

J. Alexander, J. Chaves, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Rinkevicius⁷², A. Ryd, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, U.S.A.

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, J. Duarte, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, AllisonReinsvold Hall, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena, G. Rakness, F. Ravera, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber

University of Florida, Gainesville, U.S.A.

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, L. Cadamuro, A. Carnes, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, S.V. Gleyzer, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, P. Ma, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

Florida International University, Miami, U.S.A.

Y.R. Joshi

Florida State University, Tallahassee, U.S.A.

T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, U.S.A.

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, U.S.A.

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, K. Jung, C. Mills, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, H. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wu

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, U.S.A.

M. Alhusseini, B. Bilki⁵⁵, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz⁷³, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁷⁴, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul⁷⁵, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁷⁶, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, U.S.A.

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, J. Roskes, M. Swartz

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, U.S.A.

C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, J. Bowen, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

Kansas State University, Manhattan, U.S.A.

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, U.S.A.

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, U.S.A.

A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, J. Kunkle, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, F. Ricci-Tam, M. Seidel, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, K. Wong

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, U.S.A.

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, A. Baty, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts, P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, R. Rusack, M.A. Wadud

University of Mississippi, Oxford, U.S.A.

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, U.S.A.

K. Bloom, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow[†], B. Stieger, W. Tabb

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, U.S.A.

G. Agarwal, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

Northeastern University, Boston, U.S.A.

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northwestern University, Evanston, U.S.A.

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, U.S.A.

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko³⁷, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

The Ohio State University, Columbus, U.S.A.

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, B.L. Winer

Princeton University, Princeton, U.S.A.

G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, D. Stickland, C. Tully, Z. Wang

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, U.S.A.

S. Malik, S. Norberg

Purdue University, West Lafayette, U.S.A.

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, A. Khatiwada, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, J. Sun, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, U.S.A.

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, U.S.A.

U. Behrens, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, Arun Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

University of Rochester, Rochester, U.S.A.

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, U.S.A.

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, U.S.A.

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, G. Riley, S. Spanier

Texas A&M University, College Station, U.S.A.

O. Bouhali⁷⁷, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁷⁸, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, U.S.A.

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, U.S.A.

S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, U.S.A.

M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, U.S.A.

R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa

University of Wisconsin — Madison, Madison, WI, U.S.A.

T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, F. Fiori, C. Galloni, B. Gomber⁷⁹, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, P. Klabbers, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, K. Long, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, D. Pinna, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert, N. Woods

†: Deceased

1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

2: Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

3: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

4: Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

5: Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil

6: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil

7: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

8: Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

9: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC ‘Kurchatov Institute’, Moscow, Russia

- 10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 11: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- 12: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, U.S.A.
- 14: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 15: Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 16: Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 17: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 18: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- 19: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 20: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 21: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary
- 22: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 23: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary
- 24: Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India
- 25: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 26: Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India
- 27: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 28: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 29: Now at INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy
- 30: Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- 31: Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- 32: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
- 33: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia
- 34: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
- 35: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- 36: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
- 37: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 38: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- 39: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 40: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, U.S.A.
- 41: Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
- 42: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
- 43: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, U.S.A.
- 44: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 45: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 46: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 47: Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy
- 48: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 49: Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 50: Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria
- 51: Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, BURDUR, Turkey
- 52: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 53: Also at Şırnak University, Şırnak, Turkey
- 54: Also at Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

- 55: Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey
- 56: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 57: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
- 58: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 59: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
- 60: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 61: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
- 62: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 63: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 64: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 65: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- 66: Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- 67: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- 68: Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- 69: Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- 70: Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, U.S.A., St. Paul, U.S.A.
- 71: Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- 72: Also at Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
- 73: Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
- 74: Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 75: Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- 76: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
- 77: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- 78: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea
- 79: Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India